

Message

From: McGill, Thomas [McGill.Thomas@epa.gov]
Sent: 8/11/2017 3:43:49 PM
To: Mancusi-Ungaro, Philip [Mancusi-Ungaro.Philip@epa.gov]; McDavit, Michael W. [Mcdavit.Michael@epa.gov]; Kaiser, Russell [Kaiser.Russell@epa.gov]; Able, Tony [Able.Tony@epa.gov]; Groman, Hazel [Groman.Hazel@epa.gov]; Hicks, Matt [Hicks.Matthew@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: draft email to FDEP (please share any comments)
Attachments: assumption regulatory cross-walk - DRAFT 8-11-17.docx

Sorry, document now attached, and also adding Matt Hicks. I also tweaked the email below (see underlined language). Again, if you have any comments on the email or attachment below please let me know. Thanks. Tom

From: McGill, Thomas
Sent: Friday, August 11, 2017 11:22 AM
To: Mancusi-Ungaro, Philip <Mancusi-Ungaro.Philip@epa.gov>; McDavit, Michael W. <Mcdavit.Michael@epa.gov>; Kaiser, Russell <Kaiser.Russell@epa.gov>; Able, Tony <Able.Tony@epa.gov>; Groman, Hazel <Groman.Hazel@epa.gov>
Subject: draft email to FDEP (please share any comments)

As the regulatory crosswalk we provided FDEP last week did not include many of the Corps regs, I want to follow-up today with them today to share those. Please see the draft email below, with an attached revised crosswalk. I would appreciate any comments that folks have before sending this, which I'd like to do today, particularly regarding how I framed our expectations on how they view the Corps regs. Thanks! Tom

**** draft email ***

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

From: McGill, Thomas

Sent: Wednesday, August 2, 2017 5:48 PM

To: 'Green, Justin B.' <Justin.B.Green@dep.state.fl.us>; Seward, Margaret <Margaret.Seward@dep.state.fl.us>

Cc: McDavit, Michael W. <Mcdavit.Michael@epa.gov>; Kaiser, Russell <Kaiser.Russell@epa.gov>; Hurlid, Kathy <Hurlid.Kathy@epa.gov>; Mancusi-Ungaro, Philip <Mancusi-Ungaro.Philip@epa.gov>; Able, Tony <Able.Tony@epa.gov>

Subject: regulatory cross-walk

Justin and Megan,

Per our discussion this afternoon I'm attaching a regulatory cross-walk that includes the authorities of a state program that we would need to see in a 404 assumption package. While I believe this is comprehensive in terms of the scope of authorities that need to be in place for an approvable assumption package, I am in the process of verifying that within my office. This cross-walk template was used by EPA in our work with other states that have explored assumption, and while we included some examples of other state authorities within the attachment we removed the specific citations for the purpose of keeping those states anonymous. Hopefully you'll find this document useful.

We look forward to continuing our discussions and please don't hesitate to follow-up with us if you have any questions or would like to discuss any issues related to this.

Tom